JFR Conference Series – Improving Financial Reporting



A Researcher's Guide to FASB's Agenda

November 4, 2021

Christine Botosan, Board Member Tanya Paul, Post-Doctoral Fellow

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter.

Official positions of the FASB are reached only after extensive due process and deliberations







Agenda for Today



Technical agenda highlights and recently issued ASU's



Agenda setting process



2021 Invitation to Comment: Agenda Consultation



Q&A



Current Agenda Highlights









Technical Agenda Highlights

Conceptual Framework

 Elements (Drafting final), Measurement (Initial deliberations), Presentation (Drafting final)

Recognition and Measurement

- Distinguishing Liabilities and Equity (Part 2)
- Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions
- Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)—Acquired Financial Assets
- Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)—Targeted Improvements to the Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructuring for Creditors
- Identifiable Intangible Assets and Subsequent Accounting for Goodwill
- •Improving the Accounting for Asset Acquisitions and Business Combinations

The full technical agenda, consisting of 20+ projects, can be found here



Technical Agenda Highlights

Presentation and Disclosure Projects

 Income Taxes, Interim, Supplier Finance Programs Involving Trade Payables, and Segment Reporting

Research Projects

 Accounting for and disclosure of intangibles, Agenda consultation, Effect of sale restrictions on fair value measurements, Hedge accounting – phase 2, and Targeted improvements to the statement of cash flows

The full technical agenda, consisting of 20+ projects, can be found here



FASB – ASUs issued in 2020

- Update 2020-11—Financial Services—Insurance (Topic 944): Effective Date and Early Application
- Update 2020-07—Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958): Presentation and Disclosures by Not-for-Profit Entities for Contributed Nonfinancial Assets
- Update 2020-06—Debt—Debt with Conversion and Other Options (Subtopic 470-20) and Derivatives and Hedging—Contracts in Entity's Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40): Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Entity's Own Equity



FASB – ASUs issued in 2021

- Update 2021-08—Business Combinations (Topic 805): Accounting for Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers
- Update 2021-05—Leases (Topic 842): Lessors—Certain Leases with Variable Lease Payments
- <u>Update 2021-02</u>—Franchisors—Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Subtopic 952-606): Practical Expedient



FASB – ASU effective dates



Effective dates for Accounting Standards Updates available on the FASB website here



Agenda Setting Process







Agenda Decision

Phase 1: FASB Rules of Procedure . . . "agenda request" must be in writing (with sufficient details) and posted to website; acknowledge receipt with stakeholder; other less formal sources include advisory groups, regulators; chair decision in consultation with Board members

Phase 2: Adhering to approved plan, research includes current GAAP, assessing problem(s) informed by outreach; levered by academic research, work of other standard setters

Phase 3: *Majority Board vote* required to add project to Technical Agenda; decisions made at public Board meetings



Agenda
Priortization
Board Meetings



Undertake Research
Project: Conduct More
In-depth Research to
Evaluate Whether / What
(aka "Scope") to Add to
Technical Agenda

Typically occur 3 to 4 times per year

Identify Potential New Agenda Issues; <u>Limited</u> Evalution of Whether to Allocate Additional Resources

Few Days to a few months Month to Year, depending on complexity / priority



FASB's Agenda Criteria

- There is an identifiable and sufficiently pervasive need to improve GAAP.
 - There are technically feasible solutions, and the perceived benefits of those solutions are likely to justify the expected costs of change.
- The issue has an identifiable scope.

Decisions about whether a project meets the agenda criteria is a matter of judgment. The Board has the sole authority to change—add or remove projects from—the technical agenda; at least a majority of the Board must approve those changes.





For example, September 22, 2021, Agenda Prioritization Meeting



2021 Agenda Consultation







Background

2016 <u>Invitation to Comment, Agenda Consultation</u> resulted in the following projects being added:

Distinguishing Liabilities From Equity (including Convertible Debt) Identifiable Intangible Assets and Subsequent Accounting for Goodwill Financial Performance Reporting and Segment Reporting



2021 Agenda Consultation - similar process to identify priority areas the Board should address in the future



First comment letter I read as a board member...





Project Timeline

December 2020

1st Quarter 2021

2nd Quarter 2021

3rd Quarter 2021

4th Quarter 2021 / 1st Half 2022

- Agenda consultation process announced by FASB Chairman Jones
- Initial outreach with advisory and key stakeholder groups to identify priority areas of financial reporting
- Completed initial outreach
- 200+ stakeholders including 70+ users
- Agenda
 Consultation
 Invitation to
 Comment issued
 for public
 comment on
 June 24, 2021
- ITC Comment Letter deadline September 22, 2021
- Additional outreach with users
- Analyze feedback and agenda decision making



Invitation to Comment

- The discussions included within the 2021 ITC are based on input received from these stakeholders on the Board's future standardsetting activities, which generally fell within the following categories:
 - Requiring greater disaggregation of financial reporting information to provide investors with better, more useful information that will directly influence their decisions and behaviors
 - 2. Addressing emerging transactions to reduce diversity in practice and retain the relevancy of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification®
 - 3. Reevaluating specific areas of existing GAAP to reduce unnecessary complexity
 - Enhancing certain FASB standard-setting processes.

The ITC includes both general questions about the future of the FASB's technical agenda and directed questions on specific topics.



Chapter 1—Disaggregation of Financial Reporting Information

- Investors requested more granularity about:
 - Cost of sales and selling, general, and administrative expenses
 - Income tax information by geography
 - Operating results by geography and product line
 - Recurring/nonrecurring operating results
 - Effects of business combinations
 - Effects of ESG matters on reported line items
 - Equity method investments
 - Operating results and cash flows attributable to noncontrolling interests
 - Research and development expenditures



Chapter 2—Emerging Areas in Financial Reporting

- Stakeholders identified the following emerging areas:
 - Definition of a derivative
 - Digital assets
 - ESG-related transactions, such as renewable energy credits and emissions allowances
 - Financial key performance indicators or non-GAAP metrics
 - Intangible assets, including software
 - Recognition and measurement of government grants for business entities



Chapter 3—Reduction of Unnecessary Complexity in Current GAAP

- Stakeholders identified the following costly and complex areas:
 - Balance sheet classification
 - Consolidation
 - Debt modifications
 - Distinguishing liabilities from equity
 - Materiality considerations for disclosure



Chapter 4—Improvements to FASB Standard-Setting Processes

- Stakeholders identified the following areas:
 - Understandability and navigability of the FASB Codification
 - Developing a transparent and thorough cost-benefit framework
 - Innovative ways to perform outreach
 - Interpretive process to respond to stakeholder questions that would be published and retrievable
 - Standardized transition requirements



Agenda Consultation Responses

As part of the agenda consultation process, the FASB received 522 <u>responses</u> through October 2021, from the following stakeholders:

Stakeholder Type	# of Responses
Investors/Financial Statement Users	22
Preparers	16
Practitioners	15
Trade Groups	11
State CPA Societies	5
Individuals	2
Others	3
Academics	2
Standard Setters	1
Total:	77*

^{*} The FASB received an additional 445 comment letters from a variety of respondents, including academics, individuals, investors and other financial statement users, practitioners, and preparers, which focus solely on the accounting for digital assets at fair value. Of these letters, 309 specifically expressed support for a single comment letter that urged the Board to consider fair value accounting treatment for digital assets.



Stay Connected

Subscribe to the FASB Email list by clicking this link





Q&A





Contact us: FASB

Keep up to date

- **☑** @FAFNorwalk
- in FASB
- **f** FASBstandards
- fasb.org/signup
 (FASB Outlook, ActionAlert)

Technical inquiries

- techinq@fasb.org
- fasb.org/implementation



Join the organization, and help shape the future of financial reporting

fasb.org/careers

